

**ITEM 35. PARKING – NO PARKING – EMANUEL LANE ROSEBERY**

**TRIM RECORD NO: 2016/353790**

**RECOMMENDATION**

It is recommended that the Committee endorse the following reallocation of parking in Rosebery:

- (A) Western side of Emanuel Lane, between the points 0 metres and 10 metres south of Cressy Street as “No Stopping”; and
- (B) Western side of Emanuel Lane, between the points 10 metres and 218 metres, south of Cressy Street as “No Parking”.

**VOTING MEMBERS FOR THIS ITEM**

<b><i>Voting Members</i></b>	<b><i>Support</i></b>	<b><i>Object</i></b>
City of Sydney		
Roads and Maritime Services		
NSW Police – Redfern LAC		
Representative for the Member for Heffron		

**DECISION**

**BACKGROUND**

A resident of Emanuel Lane, Rosebery has requested consideration of “No Stopping” and “No Parking” restrictions along the lane, between Cressy and Queen Streets to prevent parked cars blocking access.

**COMMENTS**

The kerb space on the western side of Emanuel Lane between Cressy and Queen Streets is currently mixed with “No Parking, “No Stopping” restrictions and some unrestricted parking.

Emanuel Lane is only 4 metres wide and provides two-way access to the rear of properties fronting Botany Road and Primrose Avenue.

Under the current conditions where a vehicle is parked in this location, drivers have difficulty manoeuvring through the lane without damaging the parked vehicles. The laneway is not wide enough to cater for parking and still provide a 3 metre thoroughfare for through access.

Additionally, this section of Emanuel Lane contains a number of driveways. The NSW Road Rules prohibit drivers from parking at any time across a driveway, or in any other way which blocks access to a driveway. Section 6 of the Roads Act 1993 gives adjoining land owners a right of vehicle access to the public road. Complying with this legislation sometimes results in the loss of on-street parking.

On-site inspection shows there is a need to allocate the existing unrestricted area to a “No Parking” restriction to improve access along the lane and also for rear-lane property access.

### **CONSULTATION**

The City consulted local residents and businesses in the area. There were 375 letters sent out with one response supporting the proposal and four responses opposing the proposal.

To minimise the impact caused by parking loss on Emanuel Lane, the City proposed to install “2P 8am-8pm Permit Holders Excepted” on the southern side of Cressy Street between Emanuel and Salisbury Lanes.

The four responses opposing the proposal, actually supported the proposed “No Parking” restriction in Emanuel Lane, however were opposed to the proposed two hour permit parking on Cressy Street, as they believed it would result in congestion and visibility issues.

Additionally, the City received a petition signed by tenants of eight businesses from Botany Road, opposing the proposed “No Parking” restriction in Emanuel Lane because of the removal of the unrestricted area. However the lane is not wide enough for parking while maintaining through access and City has noted that the current practice of parking partly on the footpath is not legal. The proposed “No Parking” will still allow for continued quick drop-off and pick-up at the rear of businesses.

### **FINANCIAL**

Funds are available in the current budget.

### **ATTACHMENTS**

Parking – No Parking – Emanuel Lane Rosebery

James Nguyen, Engineering Traffic Officer



— EXISTING: "NO STOPPING"  
 — EXISTING: "NO PARKING"  
 — EXISTING: "UNRESTRICTED PARKING"

— PROPOSED: "NO PARKING"  
 — PROPOSED: "NO STOPPING"

## Proposed Parking Changes

EMANUEL LANE AND CRESSY STREET  
ROSEBERY



